1	Michael Patrick Rooney (SBN. 248491)	
2	MICHAEL ROONEY LAW OFFICE 580 California St. Fl. 16	
3	San Francisco, CA 94104	
4	Tel: (415) 533-0282 Fax: (415) 704-3321	
5	, , ,	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff PETRA A. MARTINEZ	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	PETRA A. MARTINEZ,	CASE NO.: 3-09-CIV-5630WHA
13	Plaintiff,	MICHAEL PATRICK ROONEY, ESQ.'S
E 14	V.	DECLARATION PURSUANT TO FRCP 56(F) IN OPPOSITION TO
W OFI FL 16 4104		DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
Street, CA 9	AMERICA'S WHOLESALE LENDER, <i>Et al.</i> ,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Sornia incisco	ш.,	
MICHAEL ROONEY LAW OFFICE 580 California Street FL 16 San Francisco, CA 94104 61 81 L 1 91 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 191	Defendants.	Department: 450 Golden Gate Ave. San Francisco, CA 94102
11CH _S 28 N		Courtroom 9, 19th Floor
20		Judge: Hon. William Alsup Date: 3/4/10
21		Time: 8:00 a.m.
22		
23		
24	DECLARATION OF MICHAEL ROONEY PURSUANT TO 56F	
25	In opposition to Summary Judgment, I Michael Patrick Rooney, Esq. do declare:	
26	1. I am over 18 years old and not a party to this case.	
27		
28	2. I am counsel for Plaintiff Petra Martinez.	
29	3. In opposing the Summary Judgment Motion, I have found reason to doubt the	
30	qualifications and credibility of the witnesses who submitted declarations in support of the Motion	

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

in that there are factual inaccuracies, including but not limited to mystery surrounding the dates on the documents purportedly signed by my client, the roles of the various defendant parties, and the authentication of exhibits. Therefore, I need to take depositions of Eva Tapia, George Merziotis, and Michael Cerchio, who have each shown themselves to be employees of interested parties who testify without adequate personal knowledge of any matters involved, and seek to rely on evidence which is apparently electronically stored and provide no evidence as to the data security safeguards or other procedures for maintaining such records. I need to depose the defendants purported witnesses to question their knowledge, bias, credibility and even the existence and authenticity of the documents they claim to have reviewed.

- 4. To the extent that the court does not grant summary judgment to Petra Martinez, Plaintiff seeks written discovery as in any other lawsuit pending before the court.
- 5. Delivery of the mortgage note to the alleged Party in Interest has become an issue under the PSA. I need to conduct discovery on the specific facts surrounding this.
- 6. The circumstances surrounding the origination of this loan are highly suspect and discovery is needed to investigate the issues complained of in Petra Martinez's Declaration in Support.

I submit this declaration under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: February 11, 2010 Bv: Michael Patrick Rooney, Esq.